



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

mitted in evidence a letter confined to the political issues of a pending presidential campaign, the effect of which will tend to prejudice defendant with such members of the jury as do not share the views expressed.

An elaborate note to this case reviews all the authorities on competency of handwritings as standards for comparison.

CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONS—PROPERTY RIGHTS—UNPATENTED DISCOVERY—INJUNCTION.—Persons who, through confidential relations with the discoverer of a medical preparation, gain possession of his secret, are held, in *Stewart v. Hook* (Ga.), 63 L. R. A. 255, to be properly restrained by a court of equity from divulging it, so as to make use of it to his detriment, although the preparation was not patented, since the owner has a property right in his discovery.

CONFLICT OF LAWS—JUDGMENT—STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.—A judgment of the courts of a state where a note is sent for collection, holding it barred by the statute of limitations, is held, in *Brand v. Brand* (Ky.), 63 L. R. A. 206, not to bar a suit upon the note in another state, where the action is not barred, if, by the laws of the state where the judgment was rendered, the cause of action was not extinguished by the judgment, which operates exclusively upon the remedy.

CONSPIRACY—INJURY TO BUSINESS.—A combination of individuals for the purpose of inflicting a malicious injury upon another by ruining his business is held, in *State ex rel. Durner v. Huegin* (Wis.), 62 L. R. A. 700, to be actionable, both at common law and under the statute.

With these cases is an extensive note on the effect of bad motive to make actionable what would otherwise not be.

EVIDENCE—PROOF OF FOREIGN LAW.—The admission in evidence of an agreed translation of the statutes of a foreign country does not preclude the use, upon any matter open to reasonable doubt, of the deposition of a lawyer of that country, respecting the accepted or proper construction of such statutes. *Slater v. Mexican National Railroad Co.*, — U. S. —, 24 Sup. Ct. 581.

GAMING—KEEPING GAMBLING TABLE—SECTION 3815 OF CODE—UNEQUAL CHANCES.—Would the mere fact of keeping a gaming table subject the owner to punishment and consign the table to flames, under section 3815 of the Code, if it were shown that the table had never been used for gambling purposes? Section 3815 reads as follows:

"If any person *keep or exhibit* a gaming table, commonly called A B C, or E O table, faro bank, wheel of fortune, keno table, or table of the like kind under any denomination, whether the game or table be played with cards, dice, or otherwise, or be a partner, or concerned in interest in the keeping or exhibiting such table or bank, he shall be confined in jail not less than two nor more than twelve months, and fined not less than one